Data Submitted (UTC 11): 9/10/2024 12:35:41 AM First name: Julia Last name: McLaughlin Organization: Title:

Comments: I'd prefer to see the Forest Service adopt an alternative that lets the Wilderness be wild by allowing the hut to fade with time, or that uses wilderness-compatible means to remove the hut's remnants, since the Forest Service's first responsibility is to protect the wild character of the Mount Timpanogos Wilderness, rather than any structures such as the Quinoset hut, which degrades the area and is not necessary to administer the Wilderness.

As the Forest Service's own wilderness policy recognizes that a structure is not needed for visitor use, then a "new improved structure" is antithetical to Wilderness. And to use helicopters to do it!!! It seems this is a perversion of the Wilderness Act to declare such a structure is part of the wilderness character of the area when the Act clearly precludes structures.