

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 9/9/2024 8:31:25 PM

First name: Anon

Last name: Anon

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Hello and thank you for the opportunity to comment on the emerald Lake shelter proposal.

While I appreciate wanting to keep infrastructure in good condition and provide this service to visitors, in these times when our public lands and environment continue to degrade and our climate is in desperate need of wilderness as a carbon sink and refuge, I strongly believe that protecting that wilderness is our highest priority.

The Forest Service's first responsibility is to protect the wild character of the Mount Timpanogos Wilderness, rather than any structures such as the Quonset hut, which degrades the area and is not necessary to administer the Wilderness.

The Forest Service's own wilderness policy recognizes that a structure is not needed for visitor use, stating that visitors must be prepared on their own to face "inherent risks of adverse weather conditions, isolation, physical hazards, and lack of rapid communications, and that search and rescue may not be as rapid as expected in an urban setting."

The Forest Service's proposal to use helicopters and build a new improved structure is antithetical to Wilderness. It is a perversion of the Wilderness Act to declare such a structure is part of the wilderness character of the area when the Act clearly precludes structures.

The Forest Service must adopt an alternative that lets the Mount Timpanogos Wilderness be wild by allowing the metal remnants of the Quonset hut to fade with time, or by using wilderness-compatible means, such as non-motorized/non-mechanized tools, to remove it.