

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 9/3/2024 4:00:00 AM

First name: Marc

Last name: Yoder

Organization:

Title:

Comments: As someone who has sought out and visited many old growth forest sites in our country, I feel strongly that Alternative #3 is the best option in this proposal directive. If you visit a true old growth site, you will immediately notice key unique features of old growth forests that ONLY Alternative #3 would protect. High arching canopies from apex specimens provide a continuous shade structure that creates a unique ecosystem on the forest floor and keeps away brush and shrubs(that lead to dry tinder material) and supports a cathedral like space that is not reproducible for 300-400 years. The dead or dying monarchs of the forest also provide key nesting sites and support the old growth biome. Any other alternative to #3 does not support any of the unique features and so few acres of old growth remain, it would be foolish to destroy these unique biome for one time small benefits of a timber log. ONLY Alternative #3, otherwise it is no longer old growth.