Data Submitted (UTC 11): 8/20/2024 11:48:18 PM
First name: C
Last name: Scott
Organization:
Title:
Comments: Thank you so much for last Tuesdays meeting. Much work went into the various options. It was greatly appreciated!

SHOOTING MANAGEMENT FUNDING:
Past results indicate that self-management of the forest simply does not perform as intended due to a lack of accountability and enforcement, caused by a lack of management funding.

State fees are typically accessed to the users to fund a particular activity:
Hunting license, Fishing License, ATV/Camping permits, Etc…….

Consider an Annual Shooting License/Permit.
Funds would go towards managing the chosen shooting option for true accountability and enforcement.

CURRENT SHOOTING OPTIONS:
Option 1 - today's unmanaged free for all, simply does not meet current objectives;
safety, wildlife/forest protection, leasing, etc..

Option 3 - A compromise with questionable results, especially if self managed, meets some objectives.

Even if managed it is too difficult/confusing to navigate (yellow areas within purple) to determine where you can/can't shoot.
Still allows shooting well within the travel distance of a bullet onto private property.
For anyone against shooting ranges it will be business as usual (Option 1)

Consider an adjustment of no shooting within 1 mile (1760 yards) of private property lines (not just houses/buildings). Bullets have the ability to travel further than a mile.
Not as confusing, just more straight forward, easier navigation (no yellow within purple areas).
Larger buffer allows private property owners the ability to live free of trespassing bullets.

Option 2 - the simplest to administer (ranges only shooting), once built, meets all objectives!
Shooters will protest but these are the same people causing the issues we have today.
They shoot at will then drive to their home, protected by no gun discharge laws.

Tough decision for Ryan Nehl……….
Change is hard so make one that meets all objectives and last for decades.
Thank you!