

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 8/17/2024 9:30:06 PM

First name: Steve

Last name: Paulsen

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I have served as a senior researcher in a federal agency for thirty years and have to admit that I have never seen a draft EIS as poorly done as this one. Forest service has been working around the intent of the EO from the day it hit the streets...increasing their cutting in mature and old growth forests to get as much done as possible before the EO takes effect. This supposed draft EIS seems to be just another effort to stall and obfuscate. There are a host of sections that read as if they were written by the timber industry itself or at least contain major input from them. This draft EIS makes it clear you miss the intent of the EO to comply with international treaties to use natural solutions to mitigate the effects of climate change. Forests, particularly mature and old growth forests, have the potential to play an enormous role in mitigate CO2 emissions but all of that is hidden from or buried in this document. The 4 alternatives presented do not specifically address the role forests could play in climate change. Incredibly disappointed to see that the Department of Agriculture has squandered such a potentially important opportunity. USFS continues to be an agency primarily interested in maximum sustainable abuse...not use.