

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 4/29/2024 4:00:00 AM

First name: Danyele

Last name: Green

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Please record my email as an opposition to the devastating plan of burning and harvesting of close to 15 thousand acres.

I have not yet visited an area of prescribed burn and harvesting that did NOT have erosion issues or a significant impact on native flora and fauna relying on those 15 thousand acres for their habitat.

The knee-jerk reaction of 'we need to cut and burn' is not based in current science, and sadly, it leaves one to wonder who is actually behind this devastating measure. The fact that the finding says there is no 'significant' impact does show there IS impact. Take a look along I-69 waterways, talk to landowners and farmers along that route and you will most certainly find that when a study says no 'significant' impact, it is a lie.

What is the goal of this cut and burn? I've tried 3 separate web browsers to open the management plan and all 3 go to an error message. The articles I've read stated this is to 'remove stressors', but I hate to be the one to tell you, forests have been a part of nature much longer than you and I have been alive and guess what? They manage themselves just fine without human intervention. It is when humans get involved we see the largest significant impacts.

Destroying and starting over is not always the right thing to do. Almost is it never the right thing to do particularly when it comes to the natural environment. Drive through areas that have been logged and you will see monocultures of mostly invasive species being given a green light to propagate.

What your jobs should be doing is focusing on the removal of invasive species and teaching humans the leave no trace principles along with additional educational opportunities to lessen the impact of human interferences, of which your plans for logging and burning are the most destructive of them all.

Thank you,

Danyele Green