

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 7/31/2024 3:10:02 PM

First name: Tom

Last name: Edwards

Organization:

Title:

Comments: In general the proposals established by the cooperating agency's group has tended in the right direction with its trajectory toward reducing the impacts on the property, the area, and number of visitors.

Concerns are that this has not gone far enough in preservation of the character, remoteness, wildlife and quality of life in the area:

- a. Visitor parking areas for day use should be reduced in size
- b. Campsites should only be primitive campsites and should be reduced to no more than 12
- c. CPW housing for employees on the property should be no more than 2 or 3
- d. Provision for cattle grazing permit rights and how they interface between visitors safety and needs of permittees ability to manage their livestock appropriately need to be addressed
- e. Road traffic and safety should be considered when determining total number of visitors that should be permitted for daily usage of the property and a system for the number of visitors allowed on the site per day should be established
- f. It would be nice if a "Lodge" that could be operated as a concession could have a true restaurant facility that would provide the character of the community that was represented by the now defunct restaurant, further discussion on how this might happen needs to happen

While headed in the right direction, there is probably a gap in the process between the charm and character of what is desired and the governmental bureaucracy, expediency, regulations and rigidity of the CPW and USFS.