

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 7/26/2024 7:35:13 PM

First name: Mark

Last name: Wagner

Organization: FEDERAL WAY CHRIST CHURCH

Title: Property Manager

Comments: After a review of more information regarding the Carbon River Landscape Analysis, this addendum is being made to the comments I submitted on July 11, 2024

We believe, that so far, the scoping process for the Carbon River Landscape Analysis is flawed and inadequate. There has been little public notice of the process, no signage has been posted in the area affected, and private land inholders have never been contacted by the USFS. I called the Enumclaw Ranger Station on July 25, 2024, and even they were unaware of the subject, nor were they able to provide us with any information.

As private land inholders whose property is accessed by FSR 78 and FSR 7810 we have concerns regarding existing easements, rights of way, and other issues that need to be addressed during this process. We intend to defend our rights to access our property via existing roads.

Discrepancies in the scoping documents appear to exist. None of the maps indicate the potential of a closure or a gate at the concrete bridge at the beginning of FSR 7810. Yet found in the text of the document is a proposal to either close or gate FSR 7810 at the concrete bridge.

Regarding; "Transportation System", "Table 6. Actions under consideration for FSR 78."

We believe that to achieve the multiple use goals mentioned throughout the proposal, Option 1 is the only option that should be taken into consideration. This road, in addition to providing access to USFS lands and private lands, also provides access to Mount Rainier National Park

Dispersed camping must continue to be allowed on the north side of FSR 78. Camping has taken place in this area for many decades. Eliminating dispersed camping opportunities in this area will merely shift camping elsewhere, thereby causing crowding and overuse in other areas. Perhaps limiting parking to areas along FSR 78, as well as to areas already impacted, and creating new parking areas should be considered. A couple of toilets should also be provided in the area as well. Demand for dispersed camping will only increase over time. Existing regulations on maximum camping days should be enforced before new regulations restricting such activity are applied.

We also believe that ORV use should be allowed to continue, and ORV opportunities be expanded in the Evans Creek area.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.