Data Submitted (UTC 11): 7/24/2024 1:25:58 PM
First name: Anon
Last name: Anon
Organization:
Title:
Comments: I see the Forest Service isn't allowing for construction of an owned administrative facility here. I believe that is short sighted as this may be the only chance to get out of an expensive GSA lease for the tax payers (the current USFS office is hundreds of thousands of dollars per year). A USFS office should be constructed at the site of bldg 1 and bldg 2. I do not see snow removal taken into account in the conceptual design or egress to the south. What does the US tax payer get out of this deal and how much revenue will it produce to offset taxpayer dollars? What happens when the lease is up? I feel the US will be taken advantage of and not get much in return here.