

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 6/14/2024 3:31:50 PM

First name: Aaron

Last name: Holten

Organization:

Title:

Comments: As a whole, I would like to voice my concern about this management plan in a single paragraph:

Leave it alone, as-is, its fine the way its been and it doesn't need any changes. I do not support ANY changes to the status quo that further restrict OSV access in the Rio Grande Nat'l Forest.

Furthermore -

- 1.) We do not need "buffers" around existing wilderness boundaries. This is a cheap end-around of congress to expand those boundaries and is quite frankly illegal.
- 2.) The proposal attempts to identify "roadless areas" as a 'desirable characteristic' for non-motorized users. Per the Colorado Roadless Rule as applied in the 2012 Colorado Proposal, there should be no preference given for a particular type of recreation taking place in a roadless area.
- 3.) "Exclusionary Cooridoors" ... This is simply trying to carve the forest up into 'single use' recreational divisions and does not follow the RMP. This should be tabled and never brought up for discussion again.