

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 5/21/2024 4:00:00 AM

First name: Nathan

Last name: Lay

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I do not support the Proposed Action. I feel that it isn't any better than the original proposal. I think that the Alternative 1 proposal could work, with some improvements in the plan. Specifically, I would ask that you designate more strands as old growth for future generations and reducing the rate of logging per year. I have concerns about any logging that will take place. The land is so steep in the majority of the tree stands, that the machinery will destroy the plants found there. We are very concerned about the erosion that will occur from any logging activity. They have already experienced landslides and floods in this area, and they do not need to increase the risk for those in any way, and the erosion that occurs from logging will certainly increase this risk. It will highly impact the wildlife in the area as well. There is a pair of bald eagles that are seen there, and I certainly do not want a species as highly protected as that to be disturbed at all! Thank you for listening.