

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 5/21/2024 4:00:00 AM

First name: Amber

Last name: Lay

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I do not support the Proposed Action. I feel that it isn't any better than the original proposal. I think that the Alternative 1 proposal could work, with some improvements in the plan. I would want you designate more strands as old growth for future generations and reducing the rate of logging per year. I have great concerns regarding any logging that will take place. The land is so steep in the majority of the tree stands, that the machinery will destroy a lot of the plants found there. And if you are using chemicals to control the invasive species I have concerns about it getting into the ground water. I am very concerned about the erosion that will occur from any logging activity. They have already experienced landslides and floods in this area, and they do not need to increase the risk for those in any way. It will highly impact the wildlife in the area as well. We have seen a pair of bald eagles there, and I certainly do not want a species as highly protected as that to be disturbed at all! Thank you for listening to my concerns, and I greatly hope that you will take all these comments into consideration.