

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 5/19/2024 4:00:00 AM

First name: Abbie

Last name: Latimer

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Alternative one for this project would be the preferred option for several reasons. The original plan would devastate protected mature growth, harming species and the integrity of the forest. Alternative one would not be as damaging. Secondly, there are considerable landslide risks, too much logging as initially proposed would increase that risk. Therefore option 1 is a more reasonable and conservative plan to prevent this. Lastly, option 1, in coordination with community groups, could be utilized to create recreational opportunity. The cut back could be designed in advance to allow for trails and other paths for recreational use- attracted by tourists and potentially increasing opportunity for economical benefit as well.