

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 5/18/2024 4:00:00 AM

First name: Janet

Last name: Turner

Organization:

Title:

Comments: It's concerning that the Forest Service is placing the timber volume mandate above other critical forest needs like recreation, forest health, and water quality. By prioritizing timber targets, the agency risks undermining the overall well-being of our forests and the communities that rely on them. Making legally binding, site-specific decisions 40 years in advance is grossly irresponsible, especially considering the entire Daniel Boone National Forest Management Plan is supposed to be revised every 10 to 15 years. The proposed action is irresponsible! I support the no action alternative with modification to manage invasive Tree of Heaven and Autumn Olive.