Data Submitted (UTC 11): 5/17/2024 1:47:35 AM
First name: Pete
Last name: Ohlson
Organization:
Title:
Comments: I admit I have been unable to fully review the documentation regarding the Midnight project. Partially because many of the pdf files provided would not open for me. Regardless, I wanted to offer a few comments:

There are many units in the Little Bridge Cr. Drainage that have been thinned and underburned over the past 20 years or so, and most of these units look great, and by great Im referring to appropriate residual stand density (50-80 tpa) abundance of mature trees and underburning of logging slash. These are stll forests and were able to act as fire breaks during several wildfies over the past few years. In comparision what I see units with some of Mission project units in Buttermilk Cr. Drainage is not good. Very low residual stand density (15-25 tpa), lots of big (>25") stumps, substantial windthrow of mature trees and no fuel treatments. Canopy cover is <10% and these are no longer forests.

Please be careful what you do to the forest, get out of the office and get to intimately know the forests you're managing, do not touch the units the have already been thinned and underburned, don't just treat the "easy" ground, and do not let the logging contactors make decisions about what trees to cut.

Thank you