

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 4/29/2024 5:57:51 AM

First name: Andrew

Last name: Fabry

Organization:

Title:

Comments: While I do not oppose the idea of the huts making any of them fully private should be absolutely not allowed and not even considered. These are being built on national forest, not private property, so treating or using them as private is contradictory. If they are only open to the company's running them then they have essentially turned a piece of public access private. What different than just anyone or any company building a private cabin or home then? Especially since three of these are private companies that would be using them to create a profit. Not only are they turning a public area private, they are then also profiting off of it. This setup is absolutely not okay. If they are to build and run these huts and then perhaps they can use a certain part as private and the rest as public or first come first served. I e. 33% bed space/living space is only for the company the other 67% is for public/non-guided reservation or first come first served. The idea of allowing them to build these and not allowing public use amounts to purely just the privatization of public land. If they want exclusively let them buy property elsewhere and do that, not quick the public off of a piece of forest. This is not in the spirit of recreation and does not actually let more people enjoy the forest and area. It makes less people have access, makes access monetary, and allows for companies to profit off public land. If huts are going to be built they must be open to everyone and not just the guide services running them.