

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 4/12/2024 4:33:17 AM

First name: John

Last name: Clark

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I do not support the proposition of for-profit structures in the backcountry. Not only are these buildings are not logistically feasible (human waste, pollution, access), they will negatively effect the experience of all backcountry users. I recreate in the mountains to escape from the crowds, construction and madness in the valley, coming across (up to) four 400 sqft buildings would make me feel like I am right back in the city. Why would any backcountry user want this?

Also, the term 'hut' is used in the proposal is a mislabel... At 400 sqft, these buildings are larger than the average apartment. This company is proposing to place up to 4 of them in each location. These are massive structures that will surely leave a considerable footprint on the environment.