

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 4/8/2024 3:47:11 PM

First name: Bill

Last name: Jacobson

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Upon reviewing the hut proposal, I would like to offer my input that I'm opposed to the proposal for multiple reasons.

1, Several of the proposed locations are currently in multi-use areas of which motorized vehicles are allowed. Of the total public lands, there is only a limited amount of public land acreage for which motorized vehicles such as snowmobiles are allowed. Currently in multi-use areas, motorized and non-motorized user co-exist for the most part.

The proposed huts would dramatically change that co-existence in that there would be overnight stays possible by the guests in the huts. Since not everybody wakes up at the same time to spend they're day on the snow. My concern is that there would be potential conflicts between the different users due activity and possible noise, upsetting the co-existence that we currently enjoy.

2, While I have not snowmobiled in all of the proposed areas, I do actively ride in the Anderson-Watson area. Anderson-Watson often times has very limited vehicle parking access at best. Due to our rapidly changing snow levels, parking is often "road side" only and can be quite challenging especially when pulling a trailer. Typically there are mostly day users at Anderson-Watson during the winter months. Since parking is snow level dependent, where you park can change daily and in some cases even overnight. For example, you may park at one location on a Saturday. Then the following Sunday you may have to park several miles up or down the road. With overnight users potentially staying for multiple days at a time, there is a possibility that they're vehicle could become "snowed in" if the snow level drops significantly during they're stay. This could cause significant access issues for the trail grooming equipment which is dependent upon the changing snow levels.

In conclusion I would like to voice my opposition to the proposed overnight ski huts as in my opinion major concerns have not been addressed.