

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 4/6/2024 4:00:00 AM

First name: Scott

Last name: Pryor

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Hello,

I am writing to comment on the proposed directives related to climbing opportunities on National Forest System lands.

I live in Tucson, Arizona. Climbing throughout Arizona has been a source of incredible joy, community, physical and mental wellbeing, exploration and adventure for me for nearly a decade. Climbing has enabled me to feel more connected to [mdash] and appreciative of [mdash] the stunning public lands that we are fortunate to have in our country. Indeed, I can't count the number of times I have encountered a beautiful vista that I would never have seen if I weren't out climbing. If it weren't for the presence of fixed anchors, I would not have had these experiences that have been so important to me.

As a climber, US citizen, and tax payer, I urge the US Forest Service to reconsider the proposed ban on fixed anchors and instead partner with the climbing community to come up with a sensible and balanced management approach that ensures access to wilderness climbing areas for all, encourages ethical use of public lands for climbing recreation, prioritizes safety, and preserves the proud legacy of climbing in America for generations to come.

In particular, I would like to highlight the following reasons why the proposed ban should be reconsidered and an alternate path to reasonable management of climbing areas should be pursued:

The Southern Arizona climbing community has a long and positive history on National Forest system lands in the region.

The Southern Arizona climbing community has been effectively collaborating with the Coronado National Forest for decades to improve forest lands and steward climbing areas via dozens of volunteer efforts including:

System trail maintenance

Climbing area erosion control work

Litter cleanup

Graffiti removal

Fixed anchor maintenance

Raptor monitoring

NOTE: [sect] A considerable amount of community resources has been committed to these collaborative stewardship efforts, including support from local businesses and non-climbing organizations Rock climbing is a well-accepted and celebrated activity on the Coronado National Forest (CNF), with routes established well before the 1964 Wilderness Act. The CNF celebrates rock climbing on its homepage as a major forest activity.

National Park websites, such as Yosemite and Rocky Mountain, have pages dedicated to rock climbing, climbing ethics, and leave no trace ethics in their parks.

Fixed anchors are an essential piece of climbers' safety system and are not prohibited "installations" under the 1964 Wilderness Act. Following existing climbing policies that allow judicious use of fixed anchors for more than a half century will do more to protect Wilderness and Park character while providing for primitive and unconfined Wilderness climbing.

It is unreasonable for federal agencies to create new guidance policies prohibiting Wilderness and National Park climbing anchors across the country when they have allowed, managed, and authorized fixed anchors for decades.

Prohibiting fixed anchors will create safety issues by imposing unnecessary obstacles to the regular maintenance of fixed anchors, a responsibility undertaken by the climbing community. Critical safety decisions often must be made in the moment and any authorization process should not impede those decisions. Fixed anchor maintenance needs to be managed in a way that incentivizes safe anchor replacement and does not risk the removal of a climbing route.

Prohibiting fixed anchors will threaten America's rich climbing legacy and could erase some of the world's greatest climbing achievements. Climbing management policy needs to protect existing routes from removal, and support expeditious maintenance of fixed anchors.

Restricting the establishment of new routes to "existing climbing opportunities" on non-Wilderness lands is unenforceable and will create confusion amongst land managers and climbers. Non-Wilderness climbing management policy should maintain opportunities for new anchors unless and until analyses determine climbing should be restricted to protect cultural and natural resources

The rule changes and development of the management plans come with no funding mechanism attached. The changes will place an unnecessary and unsustainable management burden upon the already short-staffed Forest Service and Park Service.

The US Forest Service and National Park Service should consider existing fixed anchors in and outside of wilderness to be approved and appropriate until such time that a resource analysis can be done to determine if each fixed anchor is inappropriate.

USFS and NPS locations need to begin or continue working with the local climbing community as an essential part in maintaining climber safety and promoting outdoor recreation. Thank you for considering my comments