

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 4/6/2024 1:50:25 PM

First name: Larry

Last name: Orvis

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I support alternative "B" as it provides the most intensive and extensive vegetation of early successional as it will benefit the majority of species for all wildlife, more insects and over a period of time will sequester more carbon than an old growth forest. The research shows trees 25 to 75 years of age sequester the most carbon, while tree over 75 years old store it, but if you cut these older tree's and produce lumber it is still stored in the wood.

Early successional forests provide better vegetation for both game and non game species like migratory song bird's, prey and predator species and provides more insects that will improve the quality and quantity of the native brook trout in the streams in the watershed.

Overall this plan will provide better food and cover for wildlife that will improve wildlife viewing, better fishing and hunting, and help many non game species.