

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 3/30/2024 6:13:46 PM

First name: Brett

Last name: Bankie

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I am writing to comment on two points in the SERAL 2.0 proposal. Our national forests should not be subject to being sprayed with herbicides. That is not the intent of our national forests, which includes streams and wildlife and the visiting public. Other methods should be used to reduce "unwanted" vegetation, such as prescribed burning and clearing by hand and machines.

In regards to cutting of large trees up to 40 inches in diameter, that seems counter to the purpose of our national forests. Cutting of smaller trees along roadways and developed sites is reasonable in terms of protection of human life and property. Cutting of large trees, while useful in producing lumber, needs to be carefully planned in order to protect other forest qualities, such as old growth forests, wilderness, wildlife, and clean water.

As a resident of Tuolumne County, California, I am opposed to chemical spraying of herbicides in our national forests and am in favor of protecting old-growth and large trees.