

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 3/20/2024 10:29:04 PM

First name: Mike

Last name: Griffin

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I'd like to echo the public comments on the below;

- 1) The proposed use of herbicides to maintain fuelbreaks may adversely affect human health and the health and diversity of other native species. I have no issue with the limited use of herbicides for eliminating non-native invasive weeds, but do not approve of their use for fuelbreaks. Removal of understory trees and mastication should be sufficient to create fuelbreaks. The objective of "knocking down" potential canopy to ground fires would not be affected by leaving mature trees 30-40 dbh.
- 2) The proposal to thin trees greater than 30-inch DBH and up to 40-inch DBH is not necessary to increase landscape resilience. These mature trees are generally fire survivable, eliminating them does not produce any benefit. I suspect this is in the plan to provide income for contractors doing the work, as it would provide a source of income. This item should be eliminated