

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 3/8/2024 4:01:59 PM

First name: Linda

Last name: Dulicai

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I have heard about the revision to the last descendant rule. Since the cabins were illegal in the first place, allowing them to continue was already a stretch.

If the cabins were originally placed by indigenous people who had been removed from the land because of wilderness laws, that should be noted in the rule changes. Continuation for indigenous rights would be a good thing. Allowing the cabins to pass into hands that may just want to hunt or whatever in a wilderness area would be a bad thing.

The rules wording is way too vague. Can logging be a compatible and customary? Can mineral or oil surveying? Can a privileged hunting lodge?