

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 2/2/2024 2:00:36 AM

First name: Andrea

Last name: Vissotzky

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I Support Access and Active Management in the Northwest Forest Plan

Dear Northwest Forest Plan,

I enjoy accessing and recreation on public lands. Continued access is important for all.

I am concerned with the management of the northwest forest. Access to the public through roads and motorized use is crucial. Motorized routes should not be closed arbitrarily through restrictive designations and management decisions and the USFS should maximize the amount of routes available.

The economic benefit for local communities is a factor the USFS needs to consider. Recreation is a trillion dollar a year industry. Motorized use on public lands has grown tremendously in popularity over the past few years. Closing routes through forest designations will be irresponsible management as it will concentrate the growing number of users into smaller areas. Motorized routes not only accommodate motorized users but emergency response teams as well. These forests contribute to local economies through timber harvest, recreation, grazing, rock hounding and other contributions. All of these require public access throughout our forests.

In order to advance equity of access on public land for those with mobility impairment disabilities, it is important to recognize that discrimination towards American with disabilities within federal land management agencies is deeply rooted and hidden in plain sight. My husband is a disabled veteran and motorized recreation is now the only way for him to experience the forests we love. Recreation, primarily motorized recreation has taken a backseat to conservation and protection. All three of these should have equal standing. Motorized recreation is often times the only way those with mobility impairment disabilities are able to access public lands. Current policies actively discriminate against this group of underserved Americans and I would like to see this travel plan help connect all users with public lands. Allowing e-bikes on non-motorized routes is one way to address this as they have the same impact as a human powered bike.

In order to prevent and avoid adverse resource impacts and user conflict, the USFS should be actively managing the area and routes. Through different management strategies and proper education, negative impacts can be properly mitigated without closures. As popularity for outdoor recreation grows, the USFS should be looking at ways to provide reasonable access that will sustain the growing numbers of visitation. Restricting use and concentrating use will only increase impact.

I support dispersed camping on public land, and a robust network of designated routes is the best way to ensure abundant dispersed camping access. I believe dispersed camping should be allowed within the appropriate disturbance area alongside each route. Often pullouts and spur routes lead to high-value dispersed camping locations, and they should be recognized for this purpose and need.

I don't want to see the USFS give preferential treatment to any user group over another. I believe the USFS can manage for all types of recreation within this area. Motorized and non-motorized users can co-exist and one should not be restricted to accommodate another.

Wildfire is the greatest threat to the Northwest Forest. Catastrophic fires have decimated communities, habitat and forests due to lack of better forest management. In order to protect these national forests, and the people who live near them, work in them and recreate in them, the land should be managed to reduce wildfire. Roads act as a natural fire barrier and should be protected through this plan.

I appreciate the opportunity to provide feedback for the Northwest Forest Plan.

Sincerely,

Andrea Vissotzky

PO Box 184 Olney, MT 59927-0184

andrea3dogs@gmail.com