

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 2/3/2024 3:08:22 AM

First name: Patricia

Last name: Beardsley

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Old Growth Forests are decreasing at a dangerous pace and have more value being left intact than in being logged. Old Growth is more fire resistant. Old Growth is an ecosystem that is complex and impossible for humans to re-create. Old Growth carries immense history. I'd like to see a complete BAN on logging Old Growth.

Forests that have been logged in the last 70-80 years carry the highest risk for fire. They should be the focus of logging/thinning operations and can serve to provide lumber to the building industry while helping to mitigate fire danger.

I understand that the proposed plan gives direction to incorporate Indigenous knowledge which is appropriate since the forests stood and grew for thousands of years before the 20th century trend of obliterating the forests.

As a long time Oregon resident (until 2015) with life long connection to the McKenzie River community, I have seen the economic engine of that rural community shift from "logging" to "tourism". Old Growth forest and the phenomenal experiences people can have experiencing being in the forest carry more value for the community at this point. Plus, the lumber milling is all done in the urban communities now.

Bottomline, all goals can be tied to an underlying premise that a ban on all Old Growth logging is the best course for our future from a safety, health and economic perspective.