

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/31/2024 3:07:27 AM

First name: Darren

Last name: O&#39;Tell

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I'm concerned about some of the proposed changes that will impact climbing anchors. Living in MA, I've gotten to enjoy great climbing spots in the surrounding area. I've also been able to climb in Nevada and Oregon.

Restricting the establishment of new routes to "existing climbing opportunities" on non-Wilderness lands is unenforceable and will create confusion amongst land managers and climbers. Non-Wilderness climbing management policy should maintain opportunities for new anchors unless and until analyses determine climbing should be restricted to protect cultural and natural resources.

Prohibiting fixed anchors will threaten America's rich climbing legacy and could erase some of the world's greatest climbing achievements. Climbing management policy needs to protect existing routes from removal.

I hope you will consider improving the proposed changes and look to Protecting America's Rock Climbing Act and America's Outdoor Recreation Act as a model for the types of regulation needed.