

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/31/2024 1:22:11 AM

First name: Tim

Last name: Ideker

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I do not believe this regulation, as is, is in the best interest for the outdoor community and NFS management as a whole. As is, the current definitions do not do enough to specify what is to be regulated and the intent in which it is to be regulated. It paints the situation with a wide paintbrush that is on a whole, too general and too loose to be enforceable in a way that is beneficial to both sides. It will be left up to the discretion of the local NPS and with no checks or balances against over zealous enforcement. Another point is that this regulation does not define the resources to dedicate to enforcement. This in general, is likely to either be ignored as unenforceable, used as a hammer during confrontation where normal discourse occurs, or overly taxing of already heavily burdens NPS resources. While there are certainly bad actors in any group, the general climbing community as a whole, has always attempted to encourage responsible outdoor practices and use of public resources. I strongly believe that there is a need for regulation, but it needs to be a gradual process where all voices are heard and a moderate approach to equilibrium can be established. This amendment is not that.