

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/30/2024 11:11:15 PM

First name: Michael

Last name: McKee

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I would like to take this opportunity to strongly oppose this proposal.

First thing that comes to mind is, why is the Forest Service constantly sugar coating these horrible proposals as "restoration projects". Same thing with the Stibnite Mine...labeled as a restoration project. That's the farthest thing from the truth when you're openly engaging the reopening of the Stibnite Mine.

I'm all for restoration, but how is it that the local community will not be able to recreate in what I feel is the best zone in that area, but Brundage has exclusive rights? I feel that Brundage has more than enough acreage. ESPECIALLY on the fact that they have yet to do one cat trip this season. What a waste.

I was apposed to Brundage having rights after January 15th. Now they want it all? Worst of all, I'm ashamed that the Forest Service is entertaining it.

I hope that the powers that be can put money aside and look at the situation here. McCall's economy thrives with snowmobiling / skiing. Why would those users be excluded from the best spot for Brundage have total exclusivities? From what I know...Brundage doesn't have the best track record with their cat program. I'd think that after you run over a guest with the cat, you would loose your permit, not get total exclusivity to the best zone in the area.

I hope that we all can recognize that what is being considered is simply not fair to all. I hope that we all can recreate in such a special place, not having it roped off by a company that rarely uses the cat program.