

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/30/2024 9:39:01 PM

First name: Valerie

Last name: Kramer

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I have been climbing for over 30 years and enjoying this countries national forest and wilderness lands through extensive travel in the US. I would like the USFS to support climbing and other uses of the US forest and wilderness lands and promote safe practices. Fixed anchors are essential and eliminate damage to trees and other natural resources as well as promote safe practices. For decades, climbers have enjoyed the public lands as they should have a right to as citizens and taxpayers. Why this arbitraty change - just as the sport has been expanding. We need to get MORE people outdoors - not less.

Fixed anchors are an essential piece of climbers' safety system and are not prohibited "installations" under the Wilderness Act. Following existing climbing policies that allow judicious use of fixed anchors for more than a half century will do more to protect Wilderness character while providing for primitive and unconfined Wilderness climbing.

It is unreasonable for federal agencies to create new guidance policies prohibiting Wilderness climbing anchors across the country when they have allowed, managed, and authorized fixed anchors for decades.

Prohibiting fixed anchors will create safety issues by imposing unnecessary obstacles to the regular maintenance of fixed anchors, a responsibility undertaken by the climbing community. Critical safety decisions often must be made in the moment and any authorization process should not impede those decisions. Fixed anchor maintenance needs to be managed in a way that incentivizes safe anchor replacement and does not risk the removal of climbing routes.

Prohibiting fixed anchors obstructs appropriate exploration of Wilderness areas. Land managers need to allow climbers to explore Wilderness in a way that permits in-the-moment decisions that are necessary when navigating complex vertical terrain.

Climbing management policy needs to protect existing routes from removal.

Restricting the establishment of new routes to "existing climbing opportunities" on non-Wilderness lands is unenforceable and will create confusion amongst land managers and climbers. Non-Wilderness climbing management policy should maintain opportunities for new anchors unless and until analyses determine climbing should be restricted to protect cultural and natural resources.

Valerie Kramer

Pittsburgh, PA