

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/30/2024 9:15:03 PM

First name: Thomas

Last name: Kavanaugh

Organization:

Title:

Comments: First I must apologize for my previously submitted comment. I made that while I was uninformed and it was quite reactionary. But basically I said to listen to the American Alpine Club and Access Fund. But I don't totally agree with them.

Climbing is getting more popular and could probably use with a little more oversight. And it makes sense for the NSFS to define the various types of climbing anchors and how they fit into the bigger picture of land management for recreation as well as preservation.

Overall the proposal sounds reasonable as long as submitted permits are processed in a timely manner and this new system is not used as an excuse for blanket banning out of convenience or worse motives. I do realize that the NSFS is already understaffed and under funded but please don't let this turn into full banning of permeant climbing anchors.

The good thing about current climbing culture is that permanent anchors are bought and paid for out of the climber's pockets (with occasional help from climbing organizations)

I don't have first hand experience, but I've heard that the system currently in place at Joshua Tree is good both for the park and climber's.

Thank you for your time. Anyways, all the bags of dog poop are mic more harmful and ugly than any climbing bolt.