

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/30/2024 8:35:02 PM

First name: Kayla

Last name: Mahder

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Yes, climbing has grown in a way that needs management - but prohibiting fixed anchors is not the answer. I cannot fathom the number of deaths that will occur with that thinking. These routes already exist and people will continue to do them, whether or not they are banned from doing so.

Additionally as the friend and partner of first ascent bolters - I believe new routes should come from permitted individuals or teams that have already worked with land owners, the USFS, and all relevant parties to consider environmental impact and congestion to surrounding areas.

In addition to my own personal experiences I echo the Access Fund's points:

Fixed anchors are an essential piece of climbers' safety system and are not prohibited "installations" under the Wilderness Act. Following existing climbing policies that allow judicious use of fixed anchors for more than a half century will do more to protect Wilderness character while providing for primitive and unconfined Wilderness climbing.

It is unreasonable for federal agencies to create new guidance policies prohibiting Wilderness climbing anchors across the country when they have allowed, managed, and authorized fixed anchors for decades.

Prohibiting fixed anchors will create safety issues by imposing unnecessary obstacles to the regular maintenance of fixed anchors, a responsibility undertaken by the climbing community. Critical safety decisions often must be made in the moment and any authorization process should not impede those decisions. Fixed anchor maintenance needs to be managed in a way that incentivizes safe anchor replacement and does not risk the removal of climbing routes.

Prohibiting fixed anchors obstructs appropriate exploration of Wilderness areas. Land managers need to allow climbers to explore Wilderness in a way that permits in-the-moment decisions that are necessary when navigating complex vertical terrain.

Prohibiting fixed anchors will threaten America's rich climbing legacy and could erase some of the world's greatest climbing achievements. Climbing management policy needs to protect existing routes from removal.

Restricting the establishment of new routes to "existing climbing opportunities" on non-Wilderness lands is unenforceable and will create confusion amongst land managers and climbers. Non-Wilderness climbing management policy should maintain opportunities for new anchors unless and until analyses determine climbing should be restricted to protect cultural and natural resources.