

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/30/2024 8:21:02 PM

First name: April

Last name: Woods

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Our gov't fails to do its job again and again. Here is another example, the Forest Service's responsibility is to preserve the wilderness character of the Bob Marshall Wilderness Complex, not to promote any particular use, such as outfitting/guiding. If only you would do your job, protect life, not sell and seek other duties.

Also consider, the Bob Marshall Wilderness Complex Outfitter and Guide Permit Reauthorization lacks site-specific information, despite requesting site-specific comments. Accordingly, the Forest Service must make the following information available for public review on its website and must also extend the public comment period for another 45 days after information is published on the website:

permitted service days versus actual use for each permit

annual inspections, performance evaluations, or public complaints related to each campsite or operator

any NEPA documents and related decisions dealing with outfitting service levels or allocation in the Bob Marshall Wilderness Complex

the Bob Marshall Wilderness Complex Character Narrative

2017 needs assessment and extent necessary documentation

Campsite Management Plans for each outfitter camp

Given the many impacts outfitting has on the Wilderness, the Forest Service must not use a Categorical Exclusion (CE) to renew any outfitter permits. An Environmental Assessment or Environmental Impact Statement with accompanying public input is called for to properly protect this fabled Wilderness Complex.

Stop doing what you are not paid to do and start doing what you are paid to do. Protect wilderness and forests.