

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/30/2024 7:52:25 PM

First name: Kevin

Last name: Hodnett

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I share the concern of much of the outdoor community that the proposed bolting ban, while having good intentions, could lead to the possible injury and death of many climbers. The sport of rock climbing and the climbing community that I know so well, at its best, is a very wholesome, legitimate and respectful approach to interacting with nature and public lands. Taking measures to keep climbers in check in regards to leave-no-trace policies, over-bolting, and climbing/route establishment permits are all important. I would not argue that permanent anchors can often times be an eye-sore in the natural environment effecting the experience of visitors to public lands, so limiting their use or regulating how anchors are installed or replaced(for example: painting bolts to match the color of the rock) can be very legitimate actions to take. However, completely banning permanent anchors would inevitably make the sport of climbing more dangerous, especially when the means to get down from a formation is only possible by rappelling on a rope. Removing essential hardware in some areas would most certainly lead to people in need in rescue and ultimately put lives at risk. Also, as truth be told, climbing is an enormous sport that is constantly growing and not allowing climbers the opportunity to apply for bolting permits to establish new routes is a concern for the concentration of human activity in the wild(not enough supply for the demand). This would logically negatively effect wilderness preservation and management.