Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/30/2024 7:34:29 PM
First name: Victoria
Last name: Silver
Organization:
Title:
Comments: Definition of 'wild': 'original natural state, not domesticated, tamed or cultivated.' By instituting not only means but an infrastructure for outfitting and guiding, the Forest Service is dangerously close to spoiling the 'wilderness' character of the Bob Marshall domain. It is essential that there be safeguards--procedures and rules, in other words--by which such activities are governed, in order to ensure that this area retains the 'wild' character that its name declares.

The Forest Service's responsibility is to preserve the wilderness character of the Bob Marshall Wilderness Complex, not to promote any particular use, such as outfitting/guiding.

The Bob Marshall Wilderness Complex Outfitter and Guide Permit Reauthorization lacks site-specific information, despite requesting site-specific comments. Accordingly, the Forest Service must make the following information available for public review on its website and must also extend the public comment period for another 45 days after information is published on the website:

- permitted service days versus actual use for each permit

- annual inspections, performance evaluations, or public complaints related to each campsite or operator

- any NEPA documents and related decisions dealing with outfitting service levels or allocation in the Bob Marshall Wilderness Complex

- the Bob Marshall Wilderness Complex Character Narrative

- 2017 needs assessment and extent necessary documentation

- Campsite Management Plans for each outfitter camp

Given the many impacts outfitting has on the Wilderness, the Forest Service must not use a Categorical Exclusion (CE) to renew any outfitter permits. An Environmental Assessment or Environmental Impact Statement with accompanying public input is called for to properly protect this fabled Wilderness Complex.

Sincerely,

A Lover of Wilderness