

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/30/2024 6:15:19 PM

First name: Tim

Last name: Leisman

Organization:

Title:

Comments: While I agree that management of wilderness areas - and, especially, the impact of human activities on those areas - is an important function of federal government, this policy as proposed creates ambiguity and erects unnecessary barriers to recreational opportunities. Rock climbing is becoming an increasingly popular sport and local advocacy organizations are best positioned to represent the interests of those who engage in the sport. This policy should create clearer guidelines for government agencies and climbing advocates to work together to create safe and minimally intrusive access anchors. As written, it is both unenforceable and unreasonable as it changes decades-long precedent under which fixed anchors have been permitted without clear rationale or planning for how to keep up America's world-renowned climbing areas. This will have unforeseen effects on the communities surrounding the parks and the athletes who seek out the opportunities, not to mention the agents assigned to enforce these policies. Restricting the establishment of new routes to "existing climbing opportunities" on non-Wilderness lands is unenforceable and will create confusion amongst land managers and climbers. Non-Wilderness climbing management policy should maintain opportunities for new anchors unless and until analyses determine climbing should be restricted to protect cultural and natural resources.