

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/30/2024 5:43:33 PM

First name: Devon

Last name: Proctor

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I understand that the USFS wants to create a logical and fair process for placing fixed anchors on public land, and especially agree that Tribal stakeholders should have oversight over land that is culturally significant to them. However, the proposal that the USFS has put forward would invest public funds into a bureaucratic process that would limit recreational access to the citizens who are often most invested in protecting the land, its beauty, and its resources. I foresee this process getting bogged down with a heavy burden of applications that would create a backlog and eventually lead to the development of an antagonistic relationship between the USFS and those who actually utilize public land for recreation. In order to defend the working relationship between recreationists and the guardian organizations of the USFS and NPS I believe that this proposal must be rejected and the USFS must work with established forces within the climbing community to find a set of agreeable terms that will not unnecessarily bog down the process of setting fixed anchors while still creating an environment of respect for tribal stakeholders and the USFS.