

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/30/2024 5:36:34 PM

First name: Joe

Last name: Wiederhold

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I do not support the bolt prohibition on USFS Wilderness land. As a climber, father and youth guide, I believe existing rock climbing routes and anchors should be preserved with opportunity to maintain and upgrade existing routes. Furthermore, this agency should consider a long history of poor land management balance between the people, industry, recreational users and Native Americans. I believe it is in the best interest of the future of the sport of climbing to not only allow climbing on established routes in Wilderness but to manage federal forest lands sustainably to benefit all users. For example, does it benefit the public, salmon or my son to barely maintain what few open roads and climbing approach trails still exist? Poorly maintained roads contribute to erosion and landslides, causing climbing approaches to become longer in length and available to fewer users i.e. Suiattle Rd #784 approach to Glacier Peak in the Mount Baker-Snoqualmie NF. This, despite a growing population and increasing popularity of outdoor pursuits. Instead of banning bolts and passing legislation that will make existing climbing routes potentially less safe, the USFS needs to take a hard look at SUSTAINABLY managing land in its care, harvesting a sustainable amount of timber for revenue to maintain roads, repair and open closed roads and better serve the public, industry, recreational users and even salmon in the process. Please don't pass a bolt ban, but do one better and start CARING for the millions of acres in your management!