

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/29/2024 11:32:41 PM

First name: Shondiin

Last name: Czosek

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Listen I'm sure there are people with actual ideas on what to change out there. As a very casual outdoor climber I think it's essential that the climbing community continues to take care of its routes and bolts without a presiding authority allowing them to remove/replace them. That seems like the safest option because bureaucracy wouldn't allow many players in this field to act in time or make them hesitant to act decreasing the overall safety of the community. I have included my two favorite talking points that I think are the most important:

Prohibiting fixed anchors will create safety issues by imposing unnecessary obstacles to the regular maintenance of fixed anchors, a responsibility undertaken by the climbing community. Critical safety decisions often must be made in the moment and any authorization process should not impede those decisions. Fixed anchor maintenance needs to be managed in a way that incentivizes safe anchor replacement and does not risk the removal of climbing routes.

Prohibiting fixed anchors will threaten America's rich climbing legacy and could erase some of the world's greatest climbing achievements. Climbing management policy needs to protect existing routes from removal.

Thank you for your time and if your read this.

-Shondiin