

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/29/2024 8:37:51 PM

First name: Gabriel

Last name: Drogi-Saget

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I am wholeheartedly against this proposition. I view this a government outreach targeted against climbers. Climbers have a strong community and have been good stewards of the land for decades. This proposition will destroy the climbing community that has thrived for decades in the United States. We have a right as anyone else to use the land, and this excludes us from it. Restricting the establishment of new routes to "existing climbing opportunities" on non-Wilderness lands is unenforceable and will create confusion amongst land managers and climbers. Non-Wilderness climbing management policy should maintain opportunities for new anchors unless and until analyses determine climbing should be restricted to protect cultural and natural resources. This has been the case for many years, and a change in policy is not needed. Additionally, enforcing these new rules will be a waste of US Forest Service resources that would be better spent in other areas.