

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/27/2024 7:28:50 PM

First name: Trisha Shade

Last name: Lotus

Organization:

Title:

Comments: There is an enormous problem with this proposed project: "Vegetation management within old-growth forests conditions may not be for the primary purpose of growing, tending, harvesting, or regeneration of trees for economic reasons."

As we all know, history has shown time and again that is all that is needed to log old-growth is to omit or downplay "economic" reasons the timber industry will do so. A friend took photos after the Smith River Complex fires and many old redwoods were logged under the disguise of "safety" which is a bold-faced lie. These trees were all healthy. PGE does the same thing. This must stop.

The proposed language would allow over a dozen exceptions to actual protection of old-growth, including "to reduce fuel hazards," "proactive stewardship," or "resiliency." However studies have shown that old-growth stands tend to reduce wildfire spread and intensity, while thinning them dries and heats up the area.

I urge the Forest Service to remove the Tongass National Forest (in Alaska) old-growth log.

We must protect what is left of Old Growth Redwoods and allow mature trees to become old growth for the health of future generations. We are tired of this endless logging of old growth and mature redwoods. There is only a small percentage (4%) of so-called protected old growth left. Please stop this insanity.