

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/26/2024 9:53:56 PM

First name: Nicholas

Last name: Garrett

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Title: Preserving Wilderness Climbing: A Plea for the Continuation of Fixed Anchors

Exploring the rugged beauty of Wilderness areas has been an age-old passion for climbers, an artistry that has flourished through decades of judicious use of fixed anchors. These anchors, steadfast guardians of our safety, are not just installations; they represent a lifeline that has been intricately woven into the fabric of our climbing culture. Advocating for the preservation of fixed anchors is not just about clinging to tradition but is a plea for safeguarding the essence of our climbing pursuits in the heart of untamed nature.

Federal agencies, custodians of our wild spaces, now contemplate new guidance policies that threaten to uproot a practice they have permitted, managed, and authorized for more than half a century. It seems unreasonable to impose a sweeping ban on fixed anchors, a decision that could echo through the canyons and cliffs of our nation, stifling the very spirit of climbing that has thrived under their watchful gaze.

Safety, an imperative concern for climbers, is at the forefront of this discourse. Prohibiting fixed anchors may inadvertently introduce unnecessary obstacles to the regular maintenance crucial for our safety. The responsibility for this upkeep lies squarely on the shoulders of the climbing community, a group that understands the nuances of the vertical terrain and must make critical decisions in the heat of the moment. Any authorization process should be crafted with finesse, ensuring that it doesn't hinder these swift, in-the-moment choices that are essential for our well-being.

Beyond safety, exploration stands as another casualty of the proposed prohibition. Wilderness areas, with their unbridled beauty and challenging landscapes, beckon climbers to delve into the unknown. Yet, restricting fixed anchors could cast a shadow over the spontaneity that defines climbing in these regions. Land managers must recognize the importance of allowing climbers to navigate the complex vertical terrain with the freedom to make real-time decisions, fostering a genuine connection with the Wilderness.

The ramifications of prohibiting fixed anchors extend beyond the practicalities of safety and exploration—they threaten the very legacy of American climbing. Our nation boasts a rich history of climbing achievements, and these fixed anchors stand as silent witnesses to the triumphs and challenges that define our climbing narrative. Climbing management policies must not be blind to the potential erasure of these achievements, lest we forget the stories etched into the rock faces that make our climbing heritage so unique.

In conclusion, the debate over fixed anchors in Wilderness areas is not just a matter of policy but a poignant plea to preserve the soul of climbing. As we navigate the delicate balance between safety, exploration, and tradition, let us remember that fixed anchors are more than just metal wedged into rock—they are the threads that weave our climbing history, a history we must strive to protect for generations to come.