

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/26/2024 12:00:30 PM

First name: David

Last name: Rosenstein

Organization:

Title:

Comments: This is an amendment to prior comments. The proposed guidelines are a clear example of executive overreach and not unlike the facts in front of the Supreme Court in *Loper Bright Enterprises v Raimondo*. Climbing with fixed anchors predate the Wilderness Act of 1964 and Congressional intent was never to ban climbers from Wilderness climbing. These guidelines will lead to safety issues which will curtail climbing in Wilderness over time. The classifying fixed anchors as installation and the burdensome and nonfunded processes required would end fixed anchor use in many places. This would affect millions of climbers. On the flip side the presence of fixed anchors which are extremely small are difficult to see, even when one knows they are there, do not take away from the vast majority of non-climbers enjoyment. Only a very small group of environmental at the extremes feel that knowing of their existence, not even seeing them, is enough to ruin their experience. This is absurd and only affects an extremely small number of people. Fixed anchors are not and should not be classified as installations.