Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/25/2024 11:58:13 PM

First name: Joseph Last name: Gartner Organization:

Title:

Comments: I am opposed to the implementation of the document: evaluation and authroization procedures for fixed anchors and fixed equipment in national park service wilderness areas.

My reasons for opposing the proposed plan are as follows:

- -Fixed anchors are an essential piece of climbers' safety system. Fixed anchors have a low impact on the landscape and provide essential safety to those needing to use them.
- -It is unreasonable for federal agencies to create new guidance policies prohibiting wilderness climbing anchors when these practices have been allowed for decades.
- -prohibiting fixed anchors obstructs reasonable exploration of wilderness. Many people rely on trails and other permanent installations in wilderness area (e.g. half dome's cables") to provide valuable life experiences. Not allowing these anchors takes away these opportunities from future generations.
- -prohibiting fixed anchors will threaten America's rich climbing legacy. Many of the world's greatest rock climbs would not be feasible if fixed anchors were removed.
- -restricting the establishment of new routes to "existing climbing opportunities" on non-wilderness lands is not enforceable. Furthermore this confines a growing population of climbers to a set number of routes which will lead to over crowding existing routes.