

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/25/2024 7:54:08 AM

First name: Emma

Last name: Chabal

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Regarding the proposed federal regulation of permanent installation of rock climbing protection, this seems to me 1) hard to enforce 2) and I don't see this producing significant returns relative to the resources that will have to be invested in processing permits, and enforcement. I do not think climbers deserve special treatment or exemptions of any kind - I feel that the concept of "Wilderness" is misleading to begin with; people and nature have never been in a separate spheres, and the protections rock climbers occasionally place at the top of formations for safer recreating is not something that seems of priority for regulation by various agencies. Since, for now individuals must invest the time and money on hardware to do this themselves, that in itself serves to prohibitive of haphazard or superfluous installations, though I recognize that interest in the activity of climbing as an activity continues to grow. I agree that areas with little development or cultural significance to Tribes need to be protected and preserved, I just think anchor placements should be low priority, as the climbing community seems to be fairly adequate in terms of respecting wilderness areas, on the whole. My experience is that climbing in areas that are remote or with little development tends to instill in those that do so, a deeper respect for these areas and catalyzes a desire to help instill that in others.