

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/24/2024 10:06:41 PM

First name: Max

Last name: Schultz

Organization:

Title:

Comments: The proposal to ban fixed anchor installation ignores the rich, prehistoric and historic, use of the vertical terrain of our public lands.

The Access Fund and the climbing community at large are happy to litigate this matter with you all.

As a (anonymous agency) federal lands manager I can see that the vague language, esp: "existing climbing opportunities" will inevitably lead only to further confusion for the public and for your employees. Could you perhaps use less clear, more vague language? Maybe you desire consistency across the nation? THEN USE CLEAR LANGUAGE YA MIDDLE SCHOOLER.

Your proposed rule sucks. The *way you wrote it* sucks.

I will be back out there with a power drill sooner rather than later if the rule is enacted. You have near-zero enforcement capacity for such sweeping prohibitions of historic use, so get ready to explain to your bosses how you failed to stop people with your cute little paper rule.

I honestly don't care one way or the other: your rule will fail independent of the fact that I will be ignoring it.

Cheers!