

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/23/2024 9:25:14 PM

First name: Anthony

Last name: Gulla

Organization:

Title:

Comments: The use of fixed anchors in Wilderness areas is a crucial element of climbers' safety systems, and it is argued that they do not violate the Wilderness Act's prohibition on certain installations. Advocates stress the adherence to existing climbing policies that have allowed the judicious use of fixed anchors for over half a century, asserting that this approach better protects Wilderness character while facilitating primitive and unconfined climbing experiences. The contention is that federal agencies should not institute new guidance policies across the country that prohibit Wilderness climbing anchors, especially considering their decades-long history of permitting, managing, and authorizing fixed anchors. Concerns are raised about the potential safety issues arising from the obstruction of regular maintenance of fixed anchors, which is a responsibility shouldered by the climbing community. The argument suggests that critical safety decisions often require on-the-spot judgment, and any authorization process should not impede these decisions. Moreover, the prohibition of fixed anchors is seen as hindering appropriate exploration of Wilderness areas, with a call for land managers to allow climbers to make in-the-moment decisions necessary for navigating complex vertical terrain. Finally, there's a concern that prohibiting fixed anchors could jeopardize America's climbing legacy, potentially erasing some of the world's greatest climbing achievements, and it is emphasized that climbing management policy should safeguard existing routes from removal.