

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/21/2024 12:39:19 AM

First name: Vladislav

Last name: Sevostianov

Organization:

Title:

Comments: The current proposed new laws are concerning and problematic. Any climbers who would leave anchor material behind (which is only done if an anchor does not exist, or is hazardous/broken/etc.) would risk fines and being in violation, but the alternative is frankly unsafe conditions and ultimately, people will die. It's well intentioned to not have "trash" and things left behind, but the better a little bit (i.e., bolted anchors or webbing) than people dying. Further more, it is better that trees not be hurt (which is what climbers would rappel off of if not leaving an anchor), and this new law would basically encourage that. The result would be more dead trees and land erosion. Also, potentially ice screws would be a violation, which is just silly. Those are removed during a climb and do not give any damage to the environment. This makes it seem like not sufficient effort was put into understanding all the activities this proposed law would impact. A better option is to engage local climbing communities who need to still work with the government and get approvals, but for a local area more generally, not for each bolt or route for example. And provisions need to be made for safety, ecological health, and for ice climbing.