

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/18/2024 11:44:01 PM

First name: Gershom

Last name: Huyer

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I believe that the long standing historical precedent, as well as the relatively minor impact that bolts and other forms of fixed anchors have on wilderness areas should be more than enough to stop this ban from moving forward. Climbing and fixed anchors in wilderness areas far precede the wilderness act which is being used to push this ban forward, and even in the wilderness act, bolts and other forms of fixed anchors are allowed because of their longstanding use. The characterization of fixed anchors as "installations" which is being used to justify this ban is clearly a twisting of the law. "Installations" was meant for things like roads, septic systems in camp grounds and other major pieces of infrastructure which diminish the "wilderness character" of an area. Anchors have far less impact on an area than trails, which are commonly accepted in wilderness areas. Anchors are also not in any way a burden on the land managers who look after wilderness areas, as the climbing community has for a long time taken excellent care of any and all anchors across the country without support from government agencies. Events like the Yosemite facelift are great examples of how the climbing community not only cleans up after itself but takes the extra step and cleans up after all the other user groups whose impacts are often much larger.