

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/17/2024 4:07:58 PM

First name: Tonya

Last name: Brooks

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I strongly recommend not proceeding with anything to further restrict public access to public lands. I'm against additional restrictions on snowmobile areas as well as off road vehicles. The proposal essentially cuts off all of my private access to public lands outside my back door. I'm beginning to age and I'm not as able bodied as I once was and will increasingly need motorized equipment to access OUR lands. I intend to retire in my home here and not being able to access why I live here is deeply concerning.

Brundage continues to increase their "for profit" use of public lands while pushing out the public not using their paid business and I feel it boards unethically as well as bullying other users out of the area.

Snowmobile use leaves virtually no measurable footprint. We've also personally assisted in rescue and assistance to Brundage patrons in distress in shared use areas. We can use this vast area in partnership with non motorized users. Restrictions aren't necessary.

I see absolutely no use in pretending to enhance Titus lot of the plan is to shut down all motorized use. This is a complete waste of money.

Elderly people have rights to access lands and many are unable to do so without motorized equipment (snowmobile, RZR, e bikes, etc.)

Please don't allow the area to become only a billionaire's ski playground.