Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/17/2024 1:45:29 AM

First name: Beth & amp; amp; Bill

Last name: Vance Organization:

Title:

Comments: Comments in reference to: Catamount Spring Creek Gas Wells and Pipeline 63036

We are opposed to this project for the following reasons:

- -To my Knowledge the original NEPA for this project was completed in 2006, almost 20 years ago. I believe it is outdated and should be throughly analyzed again using the most up to date studies and tools to do so. Science has progressed considerably since 2006.
- -We are extremely concerned about the potential affects this project could have on our aquifer: such as water loss and methane contamination.
- -Threat to wildlife and wildlife habitat. Spring Creek is an important winter habitat and spring calving area for deer and elk.

I would appreciate an extension of the comment period, so that concerned citizens have a reasonable amount of time to fully review the EA. I, personally just learned about this proposal today via a fellow concerned citizen. In the not so distant past we (who re-side in the potential affected area) would have received a letter requesting comments.